

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. <i>EGMW-2264</i> EGMA 40562
TO INFO	Chief, IO EE, COS/G		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 200-124-39/3
FROM	Chief of Base, Munich <i>WSE</i>		DATE 12 February 1959
SUBJECT	DTDORIC/QKACTIVE/Operations Wittingness of German Employee of PBCHORD		RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE) ☐ MARKED FOR INDEXING ☒ NO INDEXING REQUIRED
ACTION REQUIRED	For your information		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
REFERENCE(S) EGMW 7267, 27 January 1959			
1. At the time that Munich Base received DIR 38232 of 3 October 1957 advising that approval had been granted to make the subject of EGMW 7267 witting of ODYOKE support of QKACTIVE ☐ was the Security Officer at PBCHORD. In view of the nagging suspicions about Subject's loyalties and possible connections with another intelligence service, presumably the German, ☐ did not proceed in making Subject witting even though approval had been granted. This decision was taken after discussion of the matter with responsible personnel at Munich Base and with ☐ superiors at PBCHORD. 2. Subsequently on 22 September 1958 ☐ requested Headquarters advice as to whether or not the engineering specialist who would accompany ☐ to the Radio Broadcasting and Jamming Conference would have to be witting. This query was addressed to Headquarters in MUNI 9732. At that time ☐ stated specifically that the Subject of EGMW 7267 was the most qualified technician to accompany ☐ but PBCHORD considered it unwise to make him witting. This position was sustained by Headquarters in DIR 42725 of 26 September, in which it was stated that Subject "must <u>not</u> be made witting." 3. Since the receipt of EGMW 7267, ☐ has made further inquiry at PBCHORD regarding the extent of Subject's "wittingness." As a result of this investigation it may be reaffirmed that Subject is not formally and officially witting - although, as has long been suspected, he probably has a fairly good idea of not only ODYOKE but even of KUBARK support of QKACTIVE. At the present time Subject's supervisor states he does not have access to classified information, although this supervisor cannot vouch for what Subject may have been permitted to see in the past. It should be pointed out that Subject has made trips for PBCHORD to the United States, the Far East and to various countries in Europe. He also has extensive contacts with JBCREOLE and with TPFEEING. ☐ has specifically excluded Subject from access to ODACID and AMCONFIDENTIAL material. 4. ☐ recalls that back in 1953 and 1954 when George B. Connington was the top technical man at PBCHORD there was a period of time when Connington was unofficially witting and there was considerable speculation that prior to the time he was signed to a secrecy agreement he may very well have shared his knowledge, particularly with his two top aides, both German nationals, one of whom would have been the subject of EGMW 7267. Obviously a direct query to Connington about this matter would not produce the desired results. However, an indirect question as to his opinion about Subject's wittingness might shed some light on the matter.			
Approved ☐ Distribution: 4 - IO 1 - EE 2 - COS/G <i>copy filed in 201-41072</i> <i>12 Feb 59</i> <i>200-124-39/3</i>			
FORM 10-57 53 (40)		USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION SECRET
		☐ CONTINUED	PAGE NO.